

KENT COUNTY COUNCIL – RECORD OF DECISION

DECISION TAKEN BY:

Neil Baker, Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport

DECISION NO:

25/00016

For publication

Key decision: YES

Subject Matter / Title of Decision: KMSCP Camera Contract

Decision:

As Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport, I agree to:

- (i) APPROVE the procurement and contract award of safety camera equipment and service through national frameworks;
- (ii) DELEGATE authority to the Corporate Director of Growth Environment & Transport, to take relevant actions to facilitate the required procurement activity; and
- (iii) DELEGATE authority to the Corporate Director of Growth Environment & Transport in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport, to take relevant actions, including but not limited to, awarding, finalising the terms of and entering into the relevant contracts or other legal agreements, as necessary, to implement the decision

Reason(s) for decision:

Kent County Council is part of the Kent and Medway Safety Camera Partnership, alongside Kent Police, Medway Council and National Highways. KCC has existing contracts for fixed safety cameras which allowed for an initial 5 years' service and up to a maximum extra of five years making a maximum service of 10 years. At the end of August 2025, the existing contract for supply and maintenance of fixed safety camera equipment will expire. To continue to provide this vital road safety tool, a new contract needs to be procured to ensure continued efforts to reduce speed related killed and serious injuries across the County.

Cabinet Committee recommendations and other consultation:

The proposed decision was considered and endorsed by Members of the Environment and Transport Cabinet Committee at their meeting on 25 February 2025.

Any alternatives considered and rejected:

Do nothing which would cause delays to maintenance of the existing camera stock would be harmful to kit itself and be an avoidance of the responsibility to adequately maintain assets on the highway

Use of a KCC Teckal company. These do not have the relevant experience and would have to have to procure the same services as a subcontract increasing cost and creating delay.

Any interest declared when the decision was taken, and any dispensation granted by the Proper Officer:

None



18.03.2025

.....
signed

.....
date